

¹⁹F Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectra of 3-Substituted 2,4-Dimethylfluorobenzenes: Possible Steric Effects on Substituent Chemical Shifts

By YUJIRO NOMURA and YOSHITO TAKEUCHI*

(Department of Chemistry, College of General Education, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, Japan)

Summary Possible steric effects on m -SCS_I are discussed on the basis of comparison of the ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectra of some 3-substituted 2,4-dimethylfluorobenzenes with those of m -substituted fluorobenzenes.

CONSIDERABLE attention has been directed to the effect of substituents on ¹⁹F chemical shifts in organic fluorides.¹ Theoretically the ¹⁹F shieldings of aromatic fluorides depend primarily on the π -charge densities on the fluorine atom and the C-F π -bond order, and a fair agreement is reported between the calculated and experimental Substituent Chemical Shifts (SCS) for *para*-substituted fluorobenzenes.² Somewhat conflicting views, however, have been expressed on the m -F SCS_{II} of *meta*-substituted fluorobenzenes (II). Taft³ has suggested that they depend predominantly on the inductive effect. Dewar,⁴ on the other hand, suggested that the field effect is relatively important, while Feeney

*et al.*⁵ report that the ¹⁹F shieldings are in general comparable with the electric field effect. Recently, Dewar⁶ and Della⁷ observed that the field effect seems to contribute little in certain fluorinated alicyclic systems. Instead, Dewar⁶ and Stock⁸ suggested that the steric environment of the fluorine atom (or its vicinity) would cause a serious effect on the ¹⁹F shieldings.

Here we report the effect of sterically hindered substituents on *meta*-fluorine shieldings.† We prepared twelve 3-substituted 2,4-dimethylfluorobenzenes (I) and determined their ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectra.‡ The m -F SCS_I values together with SCS_{II} are summarised in the Table. SCS_I are smaller than the corresponding SCS_{II}. If NMe₂, OMe, and halogens are excluded, the correlation is $SCS_I = 0.91 SCS_{II} - 2.20$ ($r = 0.979$). This large and negative intercept can be ascribed to the decrease of C-F π -bond order caused by the skeletal deformation or to the "secondary"

† For effects on *para*-fluorine atoms, see: M. J. S. Dewar and Y. Takeuchi, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 790.

‡ Most of the compounds (I) are new. The details of syntheses and physical constants will be given in a full paper. The ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectra were determined with a JNM-4H-100 spectrometer operating at 94.077 MHz in a CCl₄ or HCONMe₂ solution with 1,1,2,2-tetrachloro-3,3,4,4-tetrafluorocyclobutane as internal standard. The signals were calibrated by the side-band technique.

van der Waals effect⁹ caused by the buttressing effect of four successive substituents.

The SCS difference is particularly large for halogens, and increases in the order Cl < Br < I. We tentatively assume that this effect is also due, at least in part, to the acceptor ($1p_C$ - nd_{halogen}) interaction between the d -orbital of the halogens concerned and the aromatic ring.[§] This interpretation can also account for the similar anomaly in the case of halogens observed for proton shieldings.⁹

It is tempting to assume that SCS_{II} is solely dependent on the inductive (or field) effect of the substituent. The fact that the SCS of 3,5-di-*t*-butyl-4-nitrofluorobenzene is identical with that of *m*-nitrofluorobenzene,¹¹ and that the slope of correlation between SCS_{II} and SCS_I is close to unity, agree with this interpretation. But the present results, particularly for NMe_2 and OMe, make it difficult to draw any final conclusion as to the nature of the substituent effect on *meta*-fluorine shieldings.

We thank Prof. A. R. Katritzky, University of East Anglia, for a valuable discussion.

¹⁹F Chemical shifts (p.p.m.) and Substituent Chemical Shifts (SCS) of 3-substituted 2,4-dimethylfluorobenzenes (I).^a

Substituent	Solvent	Chemical Shift ^b	SCS _I	SCS _{II} ^c
NH ₂	CCl ₄	6.98	-2.06	0.4
NMe ₂	CCl ₄	4.43	-4.61	-0.1
OH	CCl ₄	5.58	-3.46	-1.3
OMe	CCl ₄	4.57	-4.47	-1.1
Cl	CCl ₄	2.62	-6.42	-2.0
Br	CCl ₄	1.38	-7.76	-2.30
I	CCl ₄	-0.27	-9.31	-2.4
H	CCl ₄	9.04	0 ^d	0 ^d
H	DMF	10.05	0 ^d	0 ^d
CO ₂ H	DMF	7.60	-2.45	-0.41
CO ₂ Me	CCl ₄	6.74	-2.30	-0.13
CN	CCl ₄	4.77	-4.27	-2.75
NO ₂	CCl ₄	3.28	-5.76	-3.45

^a 1 mmole of the sample was dissolved in 0.33 ml of the solvent.

^b From 1,1,2,2-tetrachloro-3,3,4,4-tetrafluorocyclobutane (5% w/w added to solvent).

^c Data taken from ref. 3.

^d By definition.

(Received, December 17th, 1969; Com. 1 898.)

§ ¹⁹F n.m.r. evidence was given for this kind of interaction between the sterically hindered sulphur atom and the aromatic ring.¹⁰

¹ J. W. Emsley, J. Feeney, and L. H. Sutcliffe, "High Resolution Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy," vol. 2, Pergamon, London, 1966, p. 871.

² M. Karplus and T. P. Das, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1961, **34**, 1683; F. Frosser and L. Goodman, *ibid.*, 1963, **38**, 374; R. W. Taft, F. Prosser, L. Goodman, and G. T. Davis, *ibid.*, p. 380; M. J. S. Dewar and J. Keleman, *ibid.*, 1968, **49**, 499.

³ R. W. Taft, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1960, **64**, 1805; R. W. Taft, E. Price, I. R. Fox, I. C. Lewis, K. K. Anderson, and G. T. Davis, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1963, **85**, 709.

⁴ W. A. Adcock and M. J. S. Dewar, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 379, and references therein.

⁵ J. Feeney, L. H. Sutcliffe, and S. M. Walker, *Mol. Phys.*, 1966, **11**, 145, and references therein.

⁶ M. J. S. Dewar and T. G. Squires, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 210.

⁷ E. W. Della, *Chem. Comm.*, 1968, 1558.

⁸ G. L. Anderson and L. M. Stock, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 212.

⁹ Y. Nomura and Y. Takeuchi, *Org. Magnetic Resonance*, 1969, **1**, 213.

¹⁰ L. Goodman and R. W. Taft, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1965, **87**, 4385.

¹¹ R. W. Taft, Abstract for Symposium on the Hammett Relationship, Kyoto, Japan, November 1967, p. 36; R. W. Taft, personal communication. We thank Professor Taft for providing us with the data before publication.